OGC Has Reviewed 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDF84-00709R000400070283-9

	The Executive	29 August 1949	
	Cffice of General Counsel		
25X1A	Audit Exe ption No. 382 -	ľ.	
25X1A	1. There is returned berewith a monorandum from officer to yea, dated 17 August 1929, concerning the That memorandum was forwarded to this office for commonantiam. Your rum rks concerning statements by Mr. have been noted.	above subjet. ent and recom-	25X1A
	and we should like to comment that no independent law been than by this effice to accortain additional fact	estigation has	
	openies, chein rable doubt has been cast upon the ci	rcumstances	25X1A
25X1A	surrounding him. I employment and travel to	It	25X1A
	is true there are conducting throughts. However, or remains, and that fact is, are.	me salient fact her expressed	25X1A
	desire of joining her husband in many and the Gove	ernment received	
	no benefit as the result of her employment and travel	to to	25X1A
	Since the Government derived no benefit and hrea	the modeling	25X1A
	considerable personal benefit, it is our opinion that benefits, top ther with the doubts as to the bona fid	ies of the	25X1A
	situation, require reimbursement to GIA by Mrs. toward ampenses from Washington, D.C. to	of her	25X1A
	3. In normal devertment audit procedures, the functioner case have considerably more weight than the level to be of addividuals which are contrary to such	ie expressed	
	is not recessary nat, as a condition precedent to re	inbursement.	
	there be proved an actual fraud on the Government. F	more the in	
	dividual derives all of the bonefits and the Government	ent none me	
	do not see now saw can excape the obligation of the election to the pointage of a personal objective.	Aybeusen Tu-	* : -
	h. The question of salary refund is not necession, sidered in same category of travel expenses for period. In the absence of fraud, we know of no legal would a presitato refund of salary payments.	or the same	ch

25X1A

co: Subject Chrono Legal Decisions

25X1A